

Traffic Engineering

Air Quality Studies

Noise Assessments

July 29, 2007

Mr. Doug Evans Community Planning Director City of La Quinta 78-495 Calle Tampico La Quinta, CA 92253

SUBJECT: Table Revisions for Circulation Element Amendment Study

For the Travertine Specific Plan Vicinity

Dear Mr. Evans;

Endo Engineering has re-evaluated the roadway network alternatives for the area in the vicinity of the Travertine Specific Plan to determine the adequacy of the master planned street network to serve the planned development. The Tables from the March 19, 2007 report have been modified and attached in portable document format (pdf). Prior to completely revising the traffic study to reflect the modifications identified above, Endo Engineering has been directed to submit the revised tables for review.

As requested at the April 23, 2007 meeting in La Quinta, the previous analysis (dated March 19, 2007) has been revised to include 157 dwelling units in Section 5, and 2,000 vehicles per day as regional "through" traffic from the eastern Coachella Valley passing through the study area. The additional 2,000 vehicles per day travelling through the study area have been included in the analysis of the first three Network Alternatives, but have not been included in Network Alternative 4, since Avenue 62 would be terminated east of the levee with this alternative.

Table 10 provides a summary of the recommended street classifications for the roadways in the study area, based upon the latest traffic projections. The conclusions which can be drawn from the updated tables vary slightly from those previously identified, as discussed below.

- Avenue 58 (from Madison Street to Jefferson Street) should retain its 4-lane Secondary Arterial designation.
- **Jefferson Street** (south of Avenue 58) should be reclassified as a 2-lane Collector Street.
- Madison Street (from Avenue 60 to Jefferson Street/Avenue 62) should be classified as a Secondary Arterial with the first three Development Plans for the Travertine Specific Plan (see Table 8). Madison Street could be constructed as a 2-lane Collector Street with Development Plan 4 (the lowest intensity Travertine Specific Plan development).

• Avenue 62 (west of Monroe Street) should be reclassified as a 2-lane Collector Street. With Network Alternative 4, the termination of Avenue 62 would cause the project traffic assigned to Avenue 62 to be redirected to the north on Madison Street. However, the termination of Avenue 62 would also eliminate the potential for regional "through" traffic passing through the study area on Jefferson Street. Therefore, the termination of Avenue 62 in Network Alternative 4 results in the same recommended roadway classification as Network Alternatives 2 and 3.

In addition to the modified tables attached, the revised traffic study being prepared will include: (1) a discussion of the latest Travertine Site Plan; (2) additional discussion of the right-of-way constraints along Avenue 62; (3) a discussion of reducing through traffic by offsetting the intersections of Jefferson Street and Avenue 62 with Madison Street by 600 feet; (4) an updated discussion of the South Valley Parkway; and (5) modifications of the traffic study to reflect the written comments from Mr. Nazir Lalani. If you have questions or comments after reviewing the modified tables, you should contact me.

Gregory Endo ENDO ENGINEERING

Table 1
Existing Study Area Entitlements

Project	Land Use	Quantity ^a		
Travertine Specific Plan (SP 94-026) Single-Family Detached Reside Multi-Family Attached Reside Hotel Neighborhood Commercia Two 18-Hole Golf Course		1,526 D.U. 774 D.U. 500 Rooms (27.2 Acres) 100,000 S.F. (10 Acres) 36 Holes		
Green Specific Plan (SP 94-025)	Single-Family Detached Residential	277 D.U. (331 Acres)		
Section 5	Single-Family Detached Residential	157 D.U.		
Other Residential ^b	Single-Family Detached Residential	547 D.U.		

- a. D.U. = Dwelling Units. S.F. = Square Feet of Building Area. The commercial gross floor area and the number of single-family residential versus multiple-family residential dwelling units shown reflect the 1994 Travertine and Green Specific Plan Traffic Impact Study prepared by Endo Engineering. The specific plan approval includes 2,300 residential dwelling units (with no specific breakdown between single-family and multiple-family residential dwellings), a 500-room hotel, and a 10-acre commercial site.
- b. Includes several residential developments with access to Avenue 58, west of Madison Street, including: The Quarry Specific Plan, and The Retreat at the Quarry Specific Plan (SP 98-032) which allows 28 resort residential units on 7.36 acres at the northeastern corner of The Quarry Specific Plan. Approximately 100 dwellings units appear to currently be constructed and occupied.

Table 2 Minimum Street Design Standards

Design Criteria Arterial			Local	
Daily Capacity	28,000 VPD	14,000 VPD	9000 VPD	
Design Speed	40 MPH	30 MPH	25 MPH	
Intersection Spacing	Intersection Spacing 600 Feet		250 Feet	
Right-of-Way	Right-of-Way 88 Feet		60 Feet	
Pavement Width	64 Feet	52 Feet	36 Feet	
Lane Configuration	4-Lane Undivided No Parking	2-Lane Undivided With Bike Lane	2-Lane Undivided	
Access to Adjoining Property	Avoid Where Possible	Avoid In Some Cases	Acceptable	
Stopping Sight Distance	450 Feet	250 Feet	160 Feet	
Min. Horizontal Radius	850 Feet	450 Feet	200 Feet	

Note: A cul-de-sac street should provide 36 feet of pavement within a 50-foot right-of-way with a 25 mph design speed and accommodate a maximum of 3,000 vehicles per day with two travel lanes and parking on both sides of the roadbed.

Table 3
"Unadjusted" Trip Generation Associated With All
Approved Undeveloped Land Uses Within the Study Area

Land Use Category (ITE Land Use Code)	Land Use Quantity ^a	AM In	I Peak I Out	Hour Total	PN In	A Peak Out		Daily 2-Way
Travertine S.P.b Residential SFD (210) Residential MFA (230) Hotel (310) Commercial Retail (820) Subtotal	1526 DU 774 DU 500 Rooms 100 TSF	269 45 183 95 592	808 220 117 61 1,206	1,077 265 300 156 1,798	785 216 156 301 1,458	461 106 139 327 1,033	1,246 322 295 628 2,491	12,760 3,650 4,100 6,790 27,300
Green Specific Plan Residential SFD (210)	277 DU	51	152	203	169	99	268	2,650
Section 5 Residential SFD (210)	157 DU	30	89	119	101	60	161	1,570
Other Residential ^C Residential SFD (210)	447 DU	81	242	323	260	153	413	4,120
Total		754	1,689	2,443	1,988	1,345	3,333	35,640

a. DU=Dwelling Units; TSF=Thousand Square Feet of Gross Floor Area. Rooms=Hotel Rooms.

b. Based upon the regression equations for ITE Land Use Code 210 (Single-Family Detached Residential), Land Use Code 230 (Multi-Family Attached Residential), Land Use Code 310 (Hotel), and Land Use Code 820 (Shopping Center) published by the ITE in *Trip Generation* (7th Edition, December, 2003).

c. Does not include the 100 occupied dwelling units shown in Table 1. The trips generated by the 100 occupied dwellings are included in the 24-hour traffic count made on Avenue 58, west of Madison Street.

Table 4
Daily Traffic Projections and V/C Ratios
Upon Buildout of the Current General Plan^a
(Roadway Network Alternative 1)

Roadway Segment	Classification (Lane Configuration)	Volume (VPD)	Capacity (VPD)	Daily V/C Ratio
Avenue 58 - West of Madison Street	Secondary (4U)	12,990	28,000	0.46
Jefferson Street - South of Avenue 58	Secondary (4U)	7,530	28,000	0.27
Madison Street - South of Avenue 60	Secondary (4U)	19,400	28,000	0.69
Avenue 62 - West of the Levee - West of Monroe Street	Secondary (4U) Secondary (4U)	6,150 6,980	28,000 28,000	0.22 0.25

a. Assumes buildout of the land uses in the study area per the existing General Plan Land Use Element and that all roadway segments are four-lane undivided secondary arterials with alignments as shown in the existing General Plan Circulation Element.

Table 5
Daily Traffic Projections and V/C Ratios
Upon GP Buildout With Jefferson Street Deleted^a
(Roadway Network Alternative 2)

Roadway Segment	Classification (Lane Configuration)	Volume (VPD)	Capacity (VPD)	Daily V/C Ratio
Avenue 58 - West of Madison Street	Secondary (4U)	13,410	28,000	0.48
Jefferson Street - South of Avenue 58	Collector (2U)	7,950	14,000	0.57
Madison Street - South of Avenue 60	Secondary (4U)	19,400	28,000	0.69
Avenue 62 - West of the Levee - West of Monroe Street	Collector (2U) Collector (2U)	5,730 6,560	14,000 14,000	0.41 0.47

a. Assumes buildout of the land uses in the study area per the adopted General Plan and Roadway Network Alternative 2.

Table 6
Daily Traffic Projections and V/C Ratios
Upon GP Buildout With Jefferson Street Realignment^a
(Roadway Network Alternative 3)

Roadway Segment	Classification (Lane Configuration)	Volume (VPD)	Capacity (VPD)	Daily V/C Ratio
Avenue 58 - West of Madison Street	Secondary (4U)	12.990	28,000	0.46
Jefferson Street - South of Avenue 58	Collector (2U)	7.530	14,000	0.54
Madison Street - South of Avenue 60	Secondary (4U)	19,400	28,000	0.69
Avenue 62 - West of the Levee - West of Monroe Street	Collector (2U) Collector (2U)	6,150 6,980	14,000 14,000	0.44 0.50

a. Assumes buildout of the land uses in the study area per the existing General Plan Land Use Element with Roadway Network Alternative 3.

Table 7
Daily Traffic Projections and V/C Ratios Upon GP Buildout
With Jefferson Street Realignment and No Levee Crossing At Avenue 62^a
(Roadway Network Alternative 4)

Roadway Segment	Classification (Lane Configuration)	Volume (VPD)	Capacity (VPD)	Daily V/C Ratio
Avenue 58 - West of Madison Street	Secondary (4U)	13,410	28,000	0.48
Jefferson Street - South of Avenue 58	Collector (2U)	7,950	14,000	0.57
Madison Street - South of Avenue 60	Secondary (4U)	21,130	28,000	0.75
Avenue 62 - West of Monroe Street	Collector (2U)	830	14,000	0.06

a. Assumes buildout of the land uses in the study area per the existing General Plan Land Use Element with Roadway Network Alternative 4.

Table 8
Travertine Specific Plan External Traffic Generation^a
Forecast By Development Yield Alternative

			~					
Development Plan	Land Use	AM	I Peak I	Hour	PM.	[Peak]	Hour	Daily
(ITE Land Use Code)	Quantity ^b	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	2-Way
Current Specific Plan								
Residential SFD (210) Residential MFA (230) Hotel (310)	1526 DU 774 DU 500 Rooms	269 45 183	808 220 117	1,077 265 300	785 216 156	461 106 139	1,246 322 295	12,760 3,650 4,100
Commercial/Retail (820) ^C Subtotal	100 TSF	-61 558	39 1,184	100 1,742	193 1,350	209 915	402 2,265	4,350 24,860
Development Plan 2		1						
Residential SFD (210) Residential MFA (230) Hotel (310) Commercial/Retail (820) ^C Subtotal	500 DU 1500 DU 500 Rooms 100 TSF	90 77 183 61 411	270 374 117 39 800	360 451 300 100 1,211	287 371 156 193 1,007	169 183 139 209 700	456 554 295 402 1,707	4,570 6,410 4,100 4,700 19,430
					1,00			
Residential SFD (210) Residential MFA (230) Hotel (310) Subtotal	500 DU 1500 DU 500 Room	90 77 183 350	270 374 117 761	360 451 300 1,111	287 371 156 814	169 183 139 491	456 554 295 1,305	4,570 6,410 4,100 15,080
Development Plan 4 52.78% of Approved Specific Plan	Variable	294	625	919	712	483	1,195	13,120

a. Based upon the regression equations for ITE Land Use Code 210 (Single-Family Detached Residential), Land Use Code 230 (Multi-Family Attached Residential), Land Use Code 310 (Hotel), and Land Use Code 820 (Shopping Center) published by the ITE in *Trip Generation* (7th Edition; December, 2003).

b. DU=dwelling units; TSF=thousand square feet.

c. The commercial trip generation forecast shown includes only external trips and incorporates a 36 percent reduction from the unadjusted values to eliminate the double counting of internal trips and more accurately reflect internal trip interactions and pass-by trips.

Table 9
Post-2020 Daily Traffic Projections
By Roadway Network Alternative and Development Plan

		_				
	Roadway Network and Development Plan Alternative	Avenue 58 West of Madison St.	Jefferson Street South of Avenue 58	Madison Street South of Avenue 60	Avenue 62 West of The Levee	Avenue 62 West of Monroe St.
	Network Alt. 1			1		
	-Develop. Plan 1	12,990	7,530	19,400	6,150	6,980
	-Develop. Plan 2	12,170	6,710	15,600	5,340	6,170
	-Develop. Plan 3	11,520	6,060	12,560	4,680	5,510
	-Develop. Plan 4	11,230	5,770	11,180	4,390	5,220
	Network Alt. 2					
4.	-Develop. Plan 1	13,410	7,950	19,400	5,730	6,560
$\langle \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$	-Develop. Plan 2	12,590	7,130	15,600	4,910	5,740
•	-Develop. Plan 3	11,940	6,480	12,560	4,260	5,090
	-Develop. Plan 4	11,650	6,190	11,180	3,970	4,800
	Network Alt. 3					
δ	-Develop. Plan 1	12,990	7,530	19,400	6,150	6,980
2 2	-Develop. Plan 2	12,170	6,710	15,600	5,340	6,170
	-Develop. Plan 3	11,520	6,060	12,560	4,680	5,510
SA S	-Develop. Plan 4	11,230	5,770	11,180	4,390	5,220
/	Network Alt. 4					
,41	-Develop. Plan 1	13,410	7,950	21,130	-	830
(3) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	-Develop. Plan 2	12,590	7,130	16,520	_	830
آ کی ل	-Develop. Plan 3	11,940	6,480	12,820	. · -	830
D	-Develop. Plan 4	11,650	6,190	11,150	. —	830
<i>[</i> *	· .					

 \mathcal{L}_{i}

Shirt of

A STATE OF THE STA

Table 10
Post-2020 Daily V/C Ratios, and Facility Classifications^a
By Roadway Network Alternative and Development Plan

Roadway Network Alternative and Development Plan	Avenue 58 West of Madison St.	Jefferson Street South of Avenue 58	Madison Street South of Avenue 60	Avenue 62 West of The Levee	Avenue 62 West of Monroe St.
Network Alt. 1					
-Develop. Plan 1	0.46-S	0.27-S	0.69-S	0.22-S	0,25-S
-Develop, Plan 2	0.43-S	0.24-S	0.56-S	0.19 -S	0.22-S
-Develop. Plan 3	0.41-S	0.22-S	0.45-S	0.17-S	0.20-S
-Develop. Plan 4	0.40-S	0.21-S	0.40-S	0.16-S	0.19-S
Network Alt. 2					
-Develop. Plan 1	0.48-S	0.57-C	·0.69-S	0.41-C	0.47-C
-Develop. Plan 2	0.45-S	0.51-C	0.56-S	0.35-C	0.41-C
-Develop. Plan 3	0.43-S	0.46-C	0.45-S	0.30-C	0.36-C
-Develop. Plan 4	0.42-S	0.44-C	0.80-C	0.28-C	0.34-C
Network Alt. 3	,				
-Develop. Plan 1	0.46-S	0.54-C	0.69-S	0.44-C	0.50-C
-Develop. Plan 2	0.43-S	0.48-C	0.56-S	0.38-C	0.44-C
-Develop. Plan 3	0.41-S	0.43-C	0.45-S	0.33-C	0.39-C
-Develop. Plan 4	0.40-S	0.41-C	0.80-C	0.31-C	0.37-C
Network Alt. 4	-				
-Develop. Plan 1	0.48-S	0.57-C	0.75-S	NA	0.06-C
-Develop. Plan 2	0.45-S	0.51-C	0.59-S	NA	0.06-C
-Develop, Plan 3	0.43-S	0.46-C	0.46-S	NA	0.06-C
-Develop. Plan 4	0.42-S	0.44-C	0.80-C	NA	0.06-C

a. Format is: Daily Volume-to-Capacity Ratio followed by the roadway classification assumed to determine the V/C Ratio. An "S" indicates that a secondary arterial capacity of 28,000 vehicles per day was assumed to determine the daily V/C ratio. A "C" indicates that a collector street capacity of 14,000 vehicles per day was assumed to determine the daily V/C ratio.